

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

PATRICIA HOLMES,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN HOME
PATIENT/LINCARE,

Defendant.

No. 4:21-CV-01683

(Chief Judge Brann)

ORDER

MARCH 14, 2024

In accordance with the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, **IT IS HEREBY
ORDERED** that:

1. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine (Doc. 40) is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**;
 - a. Defendant is precluded from presenting evidence concerning the settlement agreement reached between Plaintiff and Sutherland Global Logistics;
 - b. Defendant is precluded from introducing the pleadings of the lawsuit between Plaintiff and Sutherland Global Logistics; and
 - c. Plaintiff's Motion in Limine (Doc. 40) is otherwise **DENIED**;
2. Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence Relating to Dismissed Claims (Doc. 42) is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**;

- a. Plaintiff is precluded from presenting evidence concerning the hoarding of work by certain employees and the connected embarrassment she experienced;
 - b. Plaintiff is precluded from presenting evidence concerning Timothy McCoy's description of her work as "menial"; and
 - c. Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence Relating to Dismissed Claims (Doc. 42) is otherwise **DENIED**;
3. Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence of Unrelated Events (Doc. 44) is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**;
 - a. Plaintiff is precluded from mentioning McCoy's statement concerning his wife;
 - b. Plaintiff is precluded from mentioning Dunmire's description of the chair throwing incident; and
 - c. Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Unrelated Events (Doc. 44) is otherwise **DENIED**;
4. Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence in Support of Plaintiff's Claim for Punitive Damages or, in the alternative, to Bifurcate (Doc. 46) is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Matthew W. Brann

Matthew W. Brann
Chief United States District Judge